Navigating Services for Both Responsibly

Survivor & Respondent Services on Campus

Presenters

Jill Dunlap, PhD
Director of Research and Practice | NASPA – Student Affairs Administrators in Higher Education
dunlap@naspa.org

Jennifer E. Henkle, MSW, CSW
Higher Education Professional and Ph.D. Student
jennifer.henkle@uky.edu

Joan Tabachnick
Fellow | U.S. Department of Justice, SMART Office
info@joantabachnick.com
Learning Outcomes

- Understand the differences between services provided to survivors and respondents
- Identify the ways that providing respondent services can serve both survivors and the institution
- Understand the national landscape of respondent support services at institutions across the country and implications for your own campus

Introduction of Terms

Equal vs. equitable
Safety planning and risk reduction
Importance of person-first language
Stress – External events that tax our typical coping strategies
Crisis – When coping mechanisms are overwhelmed and external support is needed
Trauma – Psychobiological response that is sustained after experiencing an acute awareness of a threat to life/physical safety
Landscape Analysis Research – Survey Participants

- Participants
  - 251
- Type of Institution
  - 52% public, four-year
  - 38% private, non-profit, four year
  - 6% public, two-year
  - 2% international

- Most Represented State
  - California
- Institution Size
  - 9% from 1,000 to 4,999 students
  - 21% from 5,000 to 9,999 students
  - 23% from 10,000 to 19,999 students
  - 47% more than 20,000 students

Landscape Analysis Research – Services Offered

- More than 50% of institutions provided one or more of the following:
  - Explaining rights and assisting in the hearing process
  - Referring to on- and off-campus resources
  - Assisting in accessing interim measures and accommodations
  - Assisting in adherence to interim sanctions, maintaining boundaries, and understanding protective orders
  - Accompanying to conduct meetings or hearings
  - Operating as a liaison between other offices
  - Services to students currently being investigated, recently found responsible, or those re-entering following institutional separation
Landscape Analysis Research – Services Offered

• Fewer than 50% of institutions offered:
  – Referrals to legal services
  – Services to students transferring with transcript notation or those on the sex-offender registry upon acceptance

• When asked what services were not offered to both parties, xx% said:
  – Confidential advocacy and support
  – Medical services
  – Counseling

• Very few institutions offered services to:
  – Students transferring into campus and had been found responsible at another institution
  – Students entering and also on the sex offender registry
Landscape Analysis Research – Outreach & Education

- Institutions primarily educate via university sexual misconduct policy (63%) and their website (49%)
- 35% of survey respondents do not educate the campus community

National Sex Offender Public Website

www.nsopw.gov
What We Know — And Don’t Know — About Adolescent and Adult Sex Offenders

All You Would Want to Know About Adolescent and Adult Sex Offenders

Sex Offender Management Assessment and Planning Initiative
A SMART Office report documenting current research and practice in sex offender management.

All People Who Sexually Harm

• We don’t know the full population of sex abusers, thus we don’t know what percentage are registered sex offenders.
• However, based on victimization prevalence research, we know that registered sex offenders are a small percentage of all sexual abusers (15+ data sources).

Types of Sexual Violence

- Physical force/threats or victim incapacitated by drugs/alcohol
- Sexual Assault
- Rape/Attempted Rape
- Unwanted Sexual Contact
- Sexual Coercion
- Sexual touching/noncontact offenses
- Psychological/nonphysical coercion or drugs/alcohol used to impair/lessen victim resistance

DeGue, S., Brown, P., Jones, K., & Leone, R. (2017). Perpetration data: How it can inform your sexual violence prevention efforts. Presentation at the National Sexual Assault Conference, Dallas, TX.
Findings: Etiology

- No simple answer to why people engage in this behavior.
- Problem of sexual offending is too complex to attribute solely to a single theory (multifactor theories stronger).
- RED FLAG: This is what ALL sex offenders do...

Not all people who abuse are the same.

Not all behaviors are the same.
What do we know — and don’t know — about respondents?

All People Who Sexually Harm

- On campuses, schools may classify sexual misconduct as policy violations, often defined more broadly than criminal offenses.
- Some students may be accused of sexual misconduct, but not found responsible.
What We Know About Respondents

- Difference in behaviors
- Differences in those who exhibit those behaviors
- Differences in campus responses
- Services for people who have not been reported by seeking help

ABA Criminal Justice Section Task Force

ABA Criminal Justice Section Task Force on College Due Process Rights and Victim Protections: Recommendations for Colleges and Universities in Resolving Allegations of Campus Sexual Misconduct, June 2017

E. Sanction

In the event of a finding of responsibility, the consensus of the Task Force is that a particular sanction should not be presumed or required. Instead, the Task Force proposes that sanctioning should be decided on an individualized basis taking into account the facts and circumstances including mitigating factors about the respondent, the respondent’s prior disciplinary history, the nature and seriousness of the offense, and the effect on the victim and/or complainant as well as the university community. The Task Force believes that a presumption of expulsion may have unintended consequences such as discouraging reporting and a finding of responsibility.
National Survey of Sanctioning Practices

- do not assess the effectiveness of their approaches (79%)
- collect follow-up info. from complainant to determine if they remained or graduated (20%)
- gather follow-up info about students found responsible to identify if they engage in further sexual behavior problems (30%)


Services for Individuals Seeking Help

- Risk Assessment
- Education
- Treatment/Counseling
- Accommodations
- Safety Planning
- Other
Additional Possible Functions of Respondent Services Personnel

- Reintegration after a finding of not responsible
- Reintegration after a separation from the institution
- Assessment of admission if separation occurred from another institution
- Transcript notation and education goals
- Connecting respondents to community resources

Institutional Placement of Services

- Personnel serving each party
  - Same for responding and reporting parties (48%)
  - Different for responding vs. reporting parties (52%)
- Supervision
  - Dean of Students (27%)
  - Non-clinical case management office (21%)
- Confidentiality
  - FERPA, HIPAA, and state licensure
Landscape Analysis Research – Training

• In-house training is most common (94%)
• Consistent resources or oversight were not assessed, however institutions also utilized:
  – Online training (31%)
  – Training/certification by a national organization (41%)
  – Training/certification by a community organization (20%)

Making the Case for Providing Respondent Services

Ensures solid outcomes for survivors
Better outcomes for respondents found not responsible
Repairing harm to the community
  – Reduces likelihood of liability in case of litigation
  – Impact on prevention efforts
  – Prevents further retaliation or re-traumatization
Landscape Analysis Research – Recommendations

- Training for those providing respondent services
- Increasing access to community resources
- Creating a clear definition of equitable and equal
- Funding/conducting research for best practices
- Expanding the range of students served
- Informing and educating the campus community

Questions?
Next steps...
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