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The Invisible War

Problem Resolution at the Lowest Level
- It’s frowned upon for service members to go directly to their command without trying to resolve the issue themselves
- Makes it difficult for junior members to speak out against senior ranking members

Value on Performance
- Premium value placed on performance can result in leaders dismissing or minimizing claims of sexual assault or harassment against high performers

Movement of Military Personnel
- Victims may not want to report out of fear of not being believed and not having an established support system

Team Allegiance
- Reporting a fellow team member for harassment or sexual assault can be seen as a form of team betrayal

History of Military Cultural Factors
History of Military Sexual Assault

- Tailhook ‘91
  - Tailhook Association – A private organization comprised of past and present Navy and Marine Corps aviators
  - The first Tailhook conference took place in Tijuana, Mexico in 1956 and moved to Las Vegas in 1963
  - In 1991, at least 83 women and 7 men were assaulted during the three-day conference
    - “The Gantlet” – Women’s clothes were violently ripped off and then they were groped and sexually assaulted

- Aberdeen Proving Grounds (1996)
  - 12 male Army trainers charged with rape, abuse, and harassment of female soldiers under their supervision

  - 60 former female academy cadets reported rape and other forms of abuse at the academy dating back to 1993.
    - Between 1993 and 2002, 142 allegations of sexual assault at the academy were reported

- Air Force Basic Training (2009 - 2012)
  - 12 male boot-camp instructors under investigation for allegedly raping, assaulting, harassing, and having sex with female recruits
Tailhook Aftermath

Sexual Assault and the Military Justice Process

- Restricted vs Unrestricted Reporting
- Investigative Agencies
- Commander Driven Justice System
- The Court Martial
- Appeal/Clemency
Policy Changes

1985

10 U.S.C. Section 1044 authorizes JAGs to provide legal assistance to individual clients.

2012

Air Force creates SVC Program (January)

10 U.S.C. Section 1044 authorizes JAGs to provide legal assistance to individual clients.

2013

NDAA authorizes child victim representation and directed changes to the UCMJ.

2014

NDAA expands representation to DoD civilian employees, mandate investigators to notify victims of their right to an SVC, etc.

2015

Former SecDef required all Military Departments to establish a victims' counsel program by 1 January 2014.

2016

NDAA expands the role of SVCs.

2012

NDAA authorizes JAGs to provide representational legal assistance to sexual assault victims.

Changing Air Force Culture and Processes

- Establishment of Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Office
- Case Management Group/Central Registry Board
- Change in Law Enforcement Investigative Techniques
- Integrated Resilience Directorate
- Air Force Wide Sexual Assault Awareness Training
- Senior Officers Legal Orientation Course
- Violence Prevention Integrators
UCMJ Changes

Pre-2013
- Statute of limitations
- No mandatory minimum
- At the SJA’s discretion whether or not to consider victims’ disposition input
- Military commanders’ had the power to overturn convictions

Now
- Paradigm shift in victim advocacy (SVC Program)
- Kastenburg – legislation ensuring victims’ rights now codified in Article 6b
- New statutory language explicitly provides that a crime victim has the right to decline to testify during preliminary hearings
- Amending Article 46 to place limits on defense counsel interviews of victims
- Allowing victims to submit matters to convening authorities on the issue of clemency
- Statute of limitations
- Mandatory minimum of dishonorable discharge if found guilty
- Added non consensual pornography as a crime

Wilkerson Case

Overturned Sexual Assault Case Spurs Bill to Limit Commanders’ Tribunal Powers

Air Force General Defends Overturning Sexual Assault Conviction By Blaming Victim


Statistics
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Statistics Continued

Table 4. Case Dispositions Reported in FY18

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Disposition Category</th>
<th>Count of Case Dispositions</th>
<th>Share of Case Dispositions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sexual Assault Investigation That Can Be Considered for Possible Action by DoD Commanders</td>
<td>2,854</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence Supported Commander Action</td>
<td>1,845</td>
<td>65%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sexual Assault Offense Action</td>
<td>1,211</td>
<td>66%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)</td>
<td>669</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15, UCMJ)</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Discharge</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Adverse Administrative Action</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Sexual Assault Offense Action</td>
<td>634</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court-Martial Charge Preferred (Initiated)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonjudicial Punishment (Article 15, UCMJ)</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>53%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Discharge</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Adverse Administrative Action</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unfounded by Command/Legal Review</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commander Action Precluded</td>
<td>905</td>
<td>33%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victim Dead</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Victim Declined to Participate in the Military Justice Action</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insufficient Evidence to Prosecute</td>
<td>735</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Statute of Limitations Expired</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Victoms who were assaulted by multiple subjects are counted only once to correspond with the subject who received the most serious disposition.*
Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program (SAPR)

Mission - “Educate, Advocate, and collaborate to respond to and stop sexual assault and its harmful effects on the Air Force.”

• Serve as the point of contact for coordinating sexual assault victim care and response
  • SAPR supports Active Duty, Air Force Reserve, Air National Guard, and their dependents 18 and older, and Air Force civilian employees

• SVC representatives collaborate with SAPR personnel to facilitate the continuity of care and advocacy

• SVC representatives participate in monthly sexual assault Case Management Group meetings to review individual cases
Military Rights: Article 6b, UCMJ

The right to be reasonably protected from the accused

The right to reasonable, accurate, and timely notice

The right not to be excluded from any public hearing or proceeding unless determined that testimony by the victim of an offense under this chapter would be materially altered if the victim heard other testimony at that hearing or proceeding.

The right to be reasonably heard

The right to confer with the counsel representing the Government at any proceeding

The right to receive restitution as provided by law

The right to proceedings free from unreasonable delay

The right to be treated with fairness and with respect for your dignity and privacy

**Similar to the Crime Victims’ Rights Act of 2004**

Special Victims’ Counsel Program

Col. Jeffrey Rockwell
Commander, USAF Legal Operations Agency
Special Victims’ Counsel Program Background

Timeline:

• January 2013: AF stands up SVC program
• August 2013: SECDEF mandates program for all services
• December 2013: SVC program codified at 10 U.S.C. § 1044e

SVCs: 47 locations worldwide

• 48 active-duty, full-time Judge Advocates
• 5 Chief Circuit Special Victims’ Counsels
• 48 Special Victims’ Paralegals

Total number of client’s helped: Over 6000!

Governing Statute

10 U.S. Code § 1044e

Types of Legal Assistance Authorized:

(1) Legal consultation regarding potential criminal liability of the victim related to the assault

(2) Legal consultation regarding the Victim Witness Assistance Program, including the rights and benefits afforded the victim

(3) Legal consultation regarding the responsibilities and support provided to the victim by the SARC, or domestic abuse advocate

(4) Legal consultation regarding the potential for civil litigation against other parties (other than the United States).

(5) Legal consultation regarding the military justice system, including (but not limited to)
   (A) the roles and responsibilities of the trial counsel, the defense counsel, and investigators;
   (B) any proceedings of the military justice process in which the victim may observe;
   (C) the Government’s authority to compel cooperation and testimony; and
   (D) the victim’s responsibility to testify, and other duties to the court.
Governing Statute

(6) Representing the victim at any proceedings in connection with the reporting, military investigation, and military prosecution of the alleged sex-related offense.

(7) Legal consultation regarding eligibility for emotional and mental health counseling and other medical services.

(8) Legal consultation and assistance:
   (A) in personal civil legal matters;
   (B) in any proceedings of the military justice process in which a victim can participate as a witness or other party;
   (C) in obtaining civil and military protecting or restraining orders; and
   (D) in obtaining, any available military and veteran benefits, such as transitional compensation benefits and other State and Federal victims’ compensation programs.

(9) Legal consultation and assistance in connection with:
   (A) any complaint against the Government, including with an inspector general and complaints regarding equal employment opportunities;
   (B) any request to the Government for information, including FOIA requests;
   (C) any correspondence or other communications with Congress.

Special Victims’ Counsel Program

- **Advise**: develop clients’ understanding of the investigatory and military justice processes
- **Advocate**: protect the rights afforded to victims
- **Empower**: by giving clients a voice and removing barriers to their full participation in the military justice process

Providing this service to victims will result in a more robust opportunity for victims to be heard, to retain and take advantage of their rights, and enhance the justice system.
Special Victims’ Counsel Program

Who Do We Help?

- Active Duty Military
- Reservists and Guardsmen
- Military Retirees
- Dependents of Military Members (including children)
- DoD Civilians (if there is a Military Nexus)
- Exceptional Circumstances Requests

Special Victims’ Counsel Program

What We Do

- Represent Clients in Military Hearings (Courts and Administrative Hearings)
- Military Protective Orders/No Contact Orders
- Attend Law Enforcement Interviews
- Collateral Misconduct
- Specialized Legal Assistance
- Expedited and Humanitarian Transfers
- Appellate Representation
- Nonrated Periods of Performance Reports
- Transitional Compensation
- Explain Options/Outcomes… CLIENT’S CHOICE
- Independent and Confidential Advice

One Stop Legal Shop!
Child Representation

Challenges

• Determining Competency
• Guardian Ad Litem vs. Attorney
• Article 6(b) Representative
• Issues with Non-Offending Parents

Legal Challenges

Standing... What Can We Do in Court and How Did We Get it?

• LRM v. Kastenberg (2013)
• Object on the Merits During Client Testimony
• Rape Shield Law
• Mental Health Privilege
• Victim’s Right to be Heard (Impact Statements)
Beyond The Courtroom

The Way Ahead

SAAITF Recommendations:

• Establish Sexual Harassment as a Specific Criminal Offense
• Implement Sentencing Guidelines
• Increase Training for Commanders
• Trauma Informed Training for Agents and JAGs
• CATCH Program
• Enhance Forensic/Investigative Capabilities
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